

The AirSticks: A New Instrument for Live Electronic Percussion within an Ensemble

Alon Ilsar
University of Technology Sydney

Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
2018

Certificate of Original Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Alon Ilisar

April 19, 2018

Acknowledgements

I am grateful for the support of so many wonderfully generous people for this project.

To my supervisor, Andrew Johnston – thank you for your patience, calm, passion, experience and willingness to help. This whole process went so much smoother than it should have thanks to you.

To my main collaborator and co-creator of the AirSticks, Mark Havryliv – thank you for your commitment to seeing through every ridiculous idea we hatched and always being on call.

To Charles Fairchild – thank you for supporting and understanding every artistic pursuit I have ever had.

To mum and dad for getting me my first drum kit AND insisting I play it, AND making sure I also did my homework, AND for coming to every gig.

To everyone at the Creativity and Cognition Studios – thank you for dealing with my noise and junk.

Thank you to everyone who approached me at a gig and shared their praise and/or curiosity.

Thank you to my collaborators mentioned within and beyond, particularly to Andrew Bluff, Daniel Pliner, Josh Ahearn, Daniel Krass, Mark Bolotin, Kyle Sanna, Jonathon Miller, Jonothan Holmes, Robin McCarthy, Hannah Cohen, Gerri Jäger, Tim Motzer, Jane Sheldon, Julian Curwin, Joel Rapaport, Ellen Kirkwood, Sandy Evans, Dana Lyn, Matthew Hughes, Alex Ryan, Helen Richardson and all at Brooklyn College's PIMA, and...

most of all, to Bronwyn Cumbo. Thank you Broni for not only inspiring me to be creative, and challenging my ideas to better them, but believing that it would always lead to growth and success.

Abstract

This research explores the design of a new gestural instrument for electronic percussionists, the *AirSticks*. For the purposes of this work, a gestural instrument is defined as one which can, through some form of motion capture and the process of mapping on a computer, convert physical movement data into sound. The infinite ways of mapping this movement to sound is identified within as the ‘mapping problem.’ The aim of the research is to investigate different approaches to tackling this mapping problem within diverse collaborative musical situations to help overcome ‘creative paralysis.’ The method has been to use practice-based research to develop a series of mappings of the instrument for use in dozens of distinct projects which follow on from the researcher’s own creative practice as a drummer and electronic producer.

Self-reflections of the researcher’s role as performer/designer are provided, along with observations of working closely with a computer programmer and several expert musicians, dancers and visual artists. These reflections suggest that many different approaches are needed to tackle the mapping problem, and that laying out a clear artistic goal for a project can at least get the designer through some of this creative choice paralysis and more difficult decisions that need to be made. Considerations of how the performance may look, sound and the degree of control given to the performer leads to different mapping approaches. Mapping approaches are also influenced by whether the performance is improvised or composed, filmed or simply recorded, the size of the ensemble, the skillset of the collaborators and the genre conventions of the piece.

To enable this research, the *AirSticks* were designed to allow the composition, improvisation and performance of live percussive electronic music using hand and finger movements captured by gestural controllers, enabling the control of complex sound textures at the same time as allowing the performer to time and execute precise rhythmic gestures within various collaborative musical situations. A background to the field of electronic percussion in new instrument design with a focus on the use of gestural controllers is provided. The reasoning behind the choice of a particular gestural controller is discussed, as are the artistic motivations behind the project. The technical and creative components of the work, including custom software and the use of off-the-shelf controllers and sensors, are also outlined.

As part of this project, more than one hundred musical situations on the *AirSticks* were documented. These musical situations included live performances, films and recordings, some in solo form, but most with collaborators. Some video documentation is linked to within the thesis to help demonstrate the workings of the instrument and showcase the instrument within these projects. A detailed overview of these projects is presented along with insights into the creative and design processes. A discussion of the different ‘things to consider’ when designing an instrument such as the *AirSticks* is followed by the outlining of future projects and the design criteria of future software and hardware.

Contents

Certificate of Original Authorship	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Abstract	iv
Contents.....	v
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Introduction	2
Chapter 2: Background.....	5
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Artist's Background	7
2.2.1 The Drum Kit – its Limitations & Explorations.....	7
2.2.2 Electronic Music – Endless Possibilities	9
2.2.3 A New Instrument Emerges	11
2.3 History of Percussion	13
2.3.1 Early Percussion.....	13
2.3.2 Electronic Percussion	14
2.3.3 The Standard Modern Electronic Drum Kit.....	15
2.3.4 The MPC	16
2.4 Open-air Controllers	18
2.4.1 Examples of Open-air Controllers	18
2.4.2 Reasons for Utilising Open-air Controllers.....	26
2.4.3 Challenges in Using Open-air Controllers	27
2.5 Project History.....	25
2.5.1 Infrared & Cameras.....	25
2.5.2 Exoskeleton	26
2.5.3 Gaming Controllers	27
2.6 Current Hardware & Software	29
2.6.1 Triggering System	29
2.6.2 Thumb, Finger & Foot Movements.....	32
2.6.3 Custom AirSticks MIDI Software (CAMS).....	32
2.6.4 Ableton Live	35
2.6.5 Black Box	35
2.7 New Musical Instrument Design	38
2.7.1 Concepts in New Musical Instrument Design.....	39
2.7.2 Revisiting the Design Criteria.....	43
2.8 Conclusion.....	44

Chapter 3: Methodology	45
3.1 Introduction.....	46
3.2 Practice-based Research.....	48
3.3 Action Research.....	50
3.3.1 Collaboration.....	51
3.3.2 Artist/Engineer Relationship.....	53
3.4 The Reflective Practitioner.....	54
3.5 Trajectory Model for Practice & Research.....	58
Chapter 4: Case Studies.....	62
4.1 Introduction.....	63
4.2 Pivotal Projects Overview	64
4.3 The One-Person Band Dilemma	65
4.4 Pivotal Projects.....	68
4.4.1 Air Vacuum (3/2013 -)	68
4.4.2 Vacuum Duos (8/2013 -)	73
4.4.3 Dark as a Dungeon (11/2013).....	81
4.4.4 H2.0 (11/2013)	83
4.4.5 Malarkey (3/2014 -)	88
4.4.6 Nine Lives Live (8/2014 -)	90
4.4.7 Duo with Ellen Kirkwood (10/2014)	93
4.4.8 The Sticks Dock Residency (11/2014 – 1/2015)	95
4.4.9 The Sticks Debut Album (2/2015).....	98
4.4.10 The Sticks “Visualised” (4/2015 -)	105
4.4.11 AirStorm (4/2015 -)	108
4.4.12 The Sticks Covers Series (7/2015 – 1/2016)	111
4.4.13 Tuka on Like a Version (11/2015).....	120
4.4.14 Creative Tech Week Talk (4/2016)	123
4.4.15 CF1 (6/2016)	125
4.4.16 Daft Punk (7/2016).....	127
4.5 Conclusion	129
Chapter 5: Discussion	130
5.1 Introduction.....	131
5.2 Setting Up Vs Mapping	133
5.2.1 Improvisation, Composition & Performance.....	134
5.2.2 Efficiency vs Theatricality	135
5.2.3 Predictable vs Unpredictable	135
5.2.4 Performer Experience vs Audience Experience.....	136
5.3 Tackling the Mapping Problem	137
5.4 Sound-first Mapping	138
5.4.1 Pressing Play	138

5.4.2	Revelations of Sound-First Mapping	140
5.5	Magic & Illusion	143
5.5.1	Disembodied Sound	144
5.5.2	Subverting Realness	144
5.5.3	Physicalising Sound	145
5.6	Movement-first Mapping	146
5.6.1	Mapping by Metaphor	146
5.6.2	Mapping a Virtual Space	149
5.6.3	Mapping Discreet Movements.....	150
5.7	Genre-specific Mapping.....	152
5.7.1	Wonky Beat	152
5.7.2	Drone Music	153
5.7.3	Electro-acoustic Music.....	154
5.8	Mapping for Ensemble Playing.....	156
5.9	Conclusion	158
Chapter 6:	Future Work.....	159
6.1	Introduction	160
6.2	The Sweatbands	161
6.2.1	The New Hardware	162
6.2.2	The New Software	164
6.2.3	Future Plans for the Sweatbands	167
6.3	AirSticks 2.0.....	168
6.3.1	Electronic Music Composition	168
6.3.2	Sound Design	168
6.3.3	Melodic Playing.....	169
6.3.4	Dancing.....	169
6.4	Future Projects	170
6.4.1	The Hour	170
6.5	Conclusion	173
Chapter 7:	Conclusion	174
7.1	Conclusion	175
References		178
Appendix A: List of Figures.....		186
Appendix B: Glossary of Terms.....		18688
Appendix C: List of Public Performances on the AirSticks		186
Appendix D: Other Recent Projects Worth Noting		198
Aether		198
Voyager		199

Appendix E: List of Publications.....	200
Appendix F: List of Recordings	201
Appendix G: List of Video Specific Works	202